

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

10 STEVEN M. KINFORD, Case No. 3:12-cv-00489-MMD-VPC  
11 v. Petitioner,  
12 JACK PALMER, et al.,  
13 Respondents.  
14

16 This is a petition for a writ of habeas corpus, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (dkt.  
17 no. 1-1).

18 Petitioner responded to this Court's order to show cause and file proof that his  
19 appeal before the Nevada Supreme Court had been decided and filed a copy of that  
20 court's order affirming the denial of his state postconviction petition (dkt. nos 6, 7).  
21 Therefore, the habeas petition shall be filed and docketed, and it shall be served upon  
22 the respondents.

23 A petition for federal habeas corpus should include all claims for relief of which  
24 petitioner is aware. If petitioner fails to include such a claim in his petition, he may be  
25 forever barred from seeking federal habeas relief upon that claim. See 28 U.S.C.  
26 §2254(b) (successive petitions). If petitioner is aware of any claim not included in his  
27 petition, he should notify the court of that as soon as possible, perhaps by means of a  
28 motion to amend his petition to add the claim.

1 Petitioner has also submitted a motion for the appointment of counsel (dkt. no. 1-  
2). There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel for a federal habeas corpus  
3 proceeding. *Pennsylvania v. Finley*, 481 U.S. 551, 555 (1987); *Bonin v. Vasquez*, 999  
4 F.2d 425, 428 (9th Cir.1993). The decision to appoint counsel is generally discretionary.  
5 *Chaney v. Lewis*, 801 F.2d 1191, 1196 (9th Cir.1986), cert. denied, 481 U.S. 1023  
6 (1987); *Bashor v. Risley*, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 838  
7 (1984). However, counsel must be appointed if the complexities of the case are such  
8 that denial of counsel would amount to a denial of due process, and where the petitioner  
9 is a person of such limited education as to be incapable of fairly presenting his claims.  
10 See *Chaney*, 801 F.2d at 1196; see also *Hawkins v. Bennett*, 423 F.2d 948 (8th  
11 Cir.1970). Here, the issues do not appear particularly complex, and the petition  
12 appears sufficiently clear in presenting the issues that petitioner wishes to raise.  
13 Counsel is not justified at this time. The motion is denied.

14 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk shall FILE and ELECTRONICALLY  
15 SERVE the petition (dkt. no. 1-1) on the respondents.

16 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that respondents shall have forty-five (45) days from  
17 entry of this order within which to answer, or otherwise respond to, the petition. In their  
18 answer or other response, respondents shall address any claims presented by  
19 petitioner in his petition as well as any claims presented by petitioner in any Statement  
20 of Additional Claims. Respondents shall raise all potential affirmative defenses in the  
21 initial responsive pleading, including lack of exhaustion and procedural default.  
22 Successive motions to dismiss will not be entertained. If an answer is filed,  
23 respondents shall comply with the requirements of Rule 5 of the Rules Governing  
24 Proceedings in the United States District Courts under 28 U.S.C. §2254. If an answer is  
25 filed, petitioner shall have forty-five (45) days from the date of service of the answer to  
26 file a reply.

27 ///

28 ///

1 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, henceforth, petitioner shall serve upon the  
2 Attorney General of the State of Nevada a copy of every pleading, motion, or other  
3 document he submits for consideration by the Court. Petitioner shall include with the  
4 original paper submitted for filing a certificate stating the date that a true and correct  
5 copy of the document was mailed to the Attorney General. The Court may disregard  
6 any paper that does not include a certificate of service. After respondents appear in this  
7 action, petitioner shall make such service upon the particular Deputy Attorney General  
8 assigned to the case.

9 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any state court record exhibits filed by  
10 respondents herein shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the  
11 exhibits by number or letter. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be  
12 identified by the number or numbers (or letter or letters) of the exhibits in the  
13 attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court record exhibits shall be  
14 forwarded – for this case – to the staff attorneys in Reno.

15 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk shall DETACH and FILE petitioner's  
16 motion for appointment of counsel (dkt. no. 1-2).

17 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel  
18 (dkt. no. 1-2) is DENIED.

20 DATED THIS 15<sup>th</sup> day of February 2013.

MIRANDA M. DU  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE